



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/600,884

06/20/2003

Annette M. Wagner

SUNMP326

6790

32291

7590

05/14/2008

MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP  
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE  
SUITE 200  
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

EXAMINER

TRAN, MYLINH T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2179

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/14/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.





### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's Amendment filed 07/03/07 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 1, 18 and 23 have been amended. However, the limitations of the amended claims have not been found to be patentable over newly discovered prior art. Therefore, claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 10-19 and 21-23 are rejected under the new ground of rejection as set forth below.

#### ***Double Patenting***

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8, 10-19 and 21-23 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 10-18, 20-22 of copending Application No. 10/600,185.

This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: method of traversing the main portion to a tertiary tab, wherein traversing the main portion to the tertiary tab includes opening the tertiary tray by highlighting the tertiary tab, wherein opening the tertiary tray includes displaying the tertiary tray in the mobile device display, and wherein opening the tertiary tray includes rearranging only a portion of the main portion of the mobile device display such that all of the plurality of icons remain visible in the main portion of the mobile device display, the tertiary tray including at least one scroll button and a second icon.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 10-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)  
as being anticipated by Smethers [US. 2004/0142720].

**As to claims 1 and 18**, Smethers teaches highlighting a first icon (figures 5A-5D) of a plurality of icons displayed (figure 5D) in a main portion of the mobile device display; traversing the main portion to a tertiary tab, wherein traversing the main portion to the tertiary tab (page 3, 0038) includes opening the tertiary tray by highlighting the tertiary tab (0039), wherein opening the tertiary tray includes displaying the tertiary tray in the mobile device display (0094-0096) and wherein opening the tertiary tray includes rearranging only a portion of the main portion of the mobile device display such that all of the plurality of icon remain visible (figures 5A, 5D) in the main portion of the mobile device display (figures 4A-4D, pages 4, 0049), the tertiary tray including at least one scroll button and a second link (figures 5A-5D, page 6-7, 0062-0066); and highlighting the second icon, wherein a single navigation key is used to traverse the main

portion and to highlight the second link (figure 5A-5D); the tertiary tray being adjacent to a horizontal edge of the mobile device display (figure 5A).

**As to claim 3**, Smethers teaches selecting the scroll button such that a third link is displayed in the tertiary tray (figure 5A, 501).

**As to claim 4**, Smethers also teaches the scroll button including shifting the second link (figure 5A, 501).

**As to claim 5**, Smethers teaches shifting the second link including not displaying the second icon in the tertiary tray (figure 5A-5F).

**As to claim 7**, Smethers teaches opening the tertiary tray including covering at least part of the main portion of the mobile device display (figure 5A-5F).

**As to claim 8**, Smethers also teaches covering at least part of the main portion of the mobile device display including covering at least part of the first link (figure 5C).

**As to claim 10**, Smethers teaches opening the tertiary tray including scaling at least part of the main portion of the mobile device display (figures 6A-6D).

**As to claim 11**, Smethers also teaches opening the tertiary tray including shifting at least part of the main portion of the mobile device display (figures 4A-4D).

**As to claim 12**, Smethers teaches selecting the second link (figure 5F).

**As to claim 13**, Smethers also teaches selecting the second link initiating an application corresponding to the second link (figures 5F and 6A).

**As to claim 14**, Smethers teaches selecting the second link closing the tertiary tray (figures 5F and 6A).

**As to claim 15**, Smethers also teaches selecting the second link causing the second icon to be displayed in the main portion of the mobile device display (figures 5F and 6A).

**As to claim 16**, Smethers teaches displaying the second link in the main portion of the mobile device display including removing the first icon from the main portion of the mobile device display (figures 5F and 6A).

**As to claim 17**, Smethers teaches displaying the second link in the main portion of the mobile device display including moving the first icon in the main portion of the mobile device display (figures 5A-5D).

**As to claim 19**, Smethers also teaches the main portion including a first set of icons including the first icon and the tertiary tray including a second set of icons and wherein the first set of icons is a subset of the second set of icons (figures 5A-5D).

**As to claim 21**, Smethers also teaches the tertiary tray being not displayed until the tertiary tab is highlighted (figure 5C).

**As to claim 22**, Smethers teaches the mobile device display being included in a mobile device (figure 5A).

**As to claim 23**, Smethers teaches highlighting a first icon (figure 5A-5D) of a plurality of icons (figure 5D) displayed in a main portion of the mobile device display (figures 5A-5D); traversing the main portion to a tertiary tab, wherein



traversing the main portion to the tertiary tab page 3, 0038) includes opening the tertiary tray by highlighting the tertiary tab (0039), wherein opening the tertiary tray includes displaying the tertiary tray in the mobile device display and wherein opening the tertiary tray includes rearranging only a portion of the main portion of the mobile device display such that all of the plurality of icons remain visible (figure 5A, 5D) in the main portion of the mobile device display (figures 4A-4D, pages 4, 0049), the tertiary tray including at least one scroll button and a second link (figures 5A-5D, pages 6-7, 0062-0066); and highlighting the second link, wherein a single navigation key is used to traverse the main portion and to highlight the second link (figures 5A-5D); traversing the tertiary tray to highlight the scroll button (figure 5A, 501); selecting the scroll button such that a third link is displayed in the tertiary tray (figure 5A-5D); and selecting the third link, wherein selecting the third link initiates a corresponding application (figure 5F).

### **Response to Arguments**

Regarding argument about Double Patenting rejection, the examiner inadvertently made a typo error of "US Pat. Application 10/600,185". The correct paragraph Double Patenting rejection has been provided.

Applicant has argued that Smethers does not teach or suggest at least a portion of the icons displayed in the main portion of the mobile device display can be rearranged to keep the icons visible and accessible after the tertiary tray is

opened. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the above argument. Applicant's attention is directed to figure 5A and figure 5D. In figure 5A, Smethers shows plurality of icons displayed in the main portion of the mobile device while all the icons remain visible in the main portion display. As disclosed at figure 5D, all of the icons can be accessible when the tertiary tray is opened by selecting "Email" icon.

At figure 5D, Smethers teaches selecting a link (Back icon) of a second frame which will move the selected link to the first frame.

### **Conclusion**

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4141.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached at 571-272-4847.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2179

/Weilun Lo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2179